



XXXIV. Internationales Kolloquium des SGdS

Theoretische Ansätze zur Grammatikschreibung
in der Geschichte der Sprachwissenschaft

Theoretical approaches to grammaticography in the history of linguistics

1. – 4. Oktober 2025

Nationale und Kapodistrias-Universität Athen; GR
Amphitheater der Bibliothek der Philosophischen Fakultät,
Universitätscampus Zografou

Organisation

[Stephanos Matthaios](#) (Athen; GR)

[Angelika Rüter](#) (Münster; DE)

— **ABSTRACTS** —

Rossella Amendolara (Rom; Italien)

Varro philosopher of language?

The philosophical background of *De lingua Latina*'s grammatical reflection

The aim of this contribution is to refocus philosophical attention on Varro's linguistic thought, restoring its philosophical depth. In 1974, during a presentation on Varro's Theory of language, the linguist Belardi (1976) emphasized the modernity of certain aspects of Varro's *De lingua Latina* (*Ling. Lat.* VIII), connecting them to contemporary linguistic theories, such as Martinet's principle of economy (Martinet 1960; cf. De Mauro 2004). In the same year Taylor (1974) published a study on Varro's linguistic theory. More recently, the classical philologist Oniga (2022) has underscored some similarities between *De lingua Latina* and Saussurean linguistics (Saussure 2009), stressing the importance of reconsidering Varro's work.

However, a long-standing tendency to regard *De Lingua Latina* as a grammatical treatise has led historians of the philosophy of language to underestimate Varro's contribution to linguistic debates. This perspective fails to acknowledge that Varro's work encompasses centuries of linguistic reflection, including not only grammatical but also philosophical considerations (cf. Dahlmann 1997; Lazzzerini 2021). The present contribution aims to analyse several crucial passages of *De lingua Latina* from a philosophical-linguistic perspective, showing the philosophical background that underlies Varro's grammatical reflection. Particular attention will be given to *De lingua Latina* VI 36; VIII 3–5; X 76–78, where Varro addresses issues that remain central to contemporary philosophy of language, demonstrating remarkable foresight in his understanding of linguistic mechanisms, such as the relationship between nature and convention, linguistic usage, and the problem of meaning.

References

- Belardi, Walter. 1976. «Aspetti della teoria del linguaggio in Varrone». In *Atti del Congresso internazionale di studi varroniani*, 285–98. Rieti: Centro Studi Varroniani.
- Dahlmann, Hellfried. 1997. *Varrone e la teoria ellenistica della lingua. Presentazione, note di commento e bibliografia a cura di G. Calboli, trad. it. a cura di P. Voza*. Napoli: Loffredo.
- De Mauro, Tullio. 2004. *Minisemantica dei linguaggi non verbali e delle lingue*. Bari: Laterza.
- Lazzzerini, Federica. 2021. *The Scholar at Work: Varro's Study of the Latin Language (Diss. PhD)*. Oxford: OUP.
- Martinet, André. 1960. *Éléments de linguistique générale*. Paris: Librairie Armand Colin.
- Oniga, Renato. 2022. «Varrone e la scienza del linguaggio». *Classico Contemporaneo* 8:4–25.
- Saussure, Ferdinand de. 2009. *Corso di linguistica generale*. A cura di Tullio De Mauro. Bari: Laterza.
- Taylor, Daniel J. 1974. *Declinatio: A Study of the Linguistic Theory of Marcus Terentius Varro*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Chriti Maria (Thessaloniki; Griechenland)

Reflection on Grammar during Byzantium

Byzantine authors and scholars considered grammar from a variety of angles, given the inherited ancient Greek philological and grammatical tradition, as well as their concern to comment on and interpret both ancient Greek and Biblical texts. First of all, as the primary crucial stage of the 'enkýkios paideía', grammar is treated as the absolutely necessary apparatus that can assist in accessing higher levels of knowledge, such as rhetoric and philosophy. Furthermore, grammar is especially appreciated for provid-

ing readers with the ability to comprehend the Word of God and the Scriptures, taken into account that those texts were not written in vernacular language. In addition, treatments of etymology convey ancient theoretical linguistic discussions and, finally, grammar is also valued for connecting Byzantine Greeks with the glorious Hellenic past, revealing them the contents, myths, allegories of earlier texts, a connection that becomes urgent especially in view of the Ottoman occupation.

Byzantine reflection on grammar regards its conception as a *téchnē* / science (*epistēme*), as a tool for achieving linguistic expertise, in terms of its precise purposes, character, usefulness, as a concept in its own right, in the multi-cultural and multi-lingual Byzantine *milieu*. Grammar was both linked with the ancient Greek tradition and with the access to the Word of God in the Orthodox Church, in its liturgical practice (hymnography, hagiography) and biblical exegesis, as well as in the frame of the flourishing Byzantine scholarship.

References

- Cavallero, P. A. 2021. *La lengua griega en Bizancio*. [Nueva Roma, 53]. Madrid.
- Conostas, M., Fr. 2021. “Biblical Hermeneutics.” In: Papaioannou, ed., 110–131.
- Cowe, S. P. 2021. “The inception of Armenian grammatical thought out of the matrix of Hellenic paideia.” *JÖByz* 70:465–486.
- Dickey, E. 2017. “Classical scholarship. The Byzantine contribution.” In: Kaldellis and Siniosoglou, eds., 63–78.
- Diethart, J. and Gastgeber, C. 1993–1994. “Sechs eindringliche Hinweise für den byzantische Leser aus der Kommentarliteratur zu Dionysios Thrax. *Theol. gr.* 287, fol. 34v–35v aus der Handschriftensammlung der Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek”, *ByzZ* 86–87:386–401.
- Gaul, N. 2022. “Schools and learning.” In: Bassett, S., ed. *The Cambridge companion to Constantinople*. Cambridge, 263–276.
- Halkin, F. 1977. *Douze récits byzantins sur Saint Jean Chrysostome*. [Subsidia hagiographica, 60]. Bruxelles.
- Hilgard, A. 1901. *Scholia in Dionysii Thracis Artem grammaticam*. [Grammatici Graeci recogniti et apparatus critico instructi, 1,3]. Leipzig.
- Hinterberger, M. 2021. “Language.” In: Papaioannou, ed., 21–43.
- Holton, D., Horrocks, G., Janssen, M., Lendari, T., Manolassou, I., Toufexis, N., 2019. *The Cambridge grammar of medieval and early modern Greek*, 4 vols. Cambridge.
- Irmscher, J. 1996. “Nikolaos Sophianos, der erste Grammatiker des Neugriechischen, unter Einfluss der italienischen Volkssprache.” In: Tavoni, M. ed., *Italia ed Europa nella linguistica del Rinascimento. Confronti e relazioni. Atti del Convegno internazionale: Ferrara, Palazzo Paradiso, 20–24 marzo 1991 = Italy and Europe in Renaissance linguistics. Comparisons and relations. Proceedings of the international conference, Ferrara, Palazzo Paradiso, 20–24 March 1991*, Ferrara, vol. 2, 199–206.
- Lauxtermann, M.D. 2020. “The *Grammatical introduction* by Nikolaos Sofianos. Manuscripts, date, and linguistic models.” *Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies* 44:124–136.
- Lebrun, F. 1997. *Nicéas le Paphlagonien. Sept homélies inédites*, Leuven.
- Matthaios, S. 2020. “Greek scholarship in the imperial era and late antiquity.” In: Montanari, ed., 260–372.
- Nousia, F. 2019. “Manuel Calecas’ *Grammar*. Its use and contribution to the learning of Greek in Western Europe.” In: Abbamonte, G. and Harrison, S., eds. 2019. *Making and rethinking the Renaissance. Between Greek and Latin in 15th–16th century Europe*, [Trends in Classics – Supplementary Volumes, 77], Berlin – Boston, 51–65.
- Papaioannou, S., ed., 2021. *The Oxford handbook of Byzantine literature*. New York.
- Petit, L., Sidéridès, X., Jugie, M. 1928–1936. *Oeuvres complètes de Georges Scholarios*, 8 vols. Paris.
- Pontani, F. 2020. “Scholarship in the Byzantine empire (529–1453).” In: Montanari, ed., 373–529.
- Scialuga, M. 1994. “Problemi grammaticali nel *περὶ παιδείας* di Gemisto Pletone.” In: G.B. Squarotti et al., eds. *Voce di molte acque. Miscellanea di studi offerti a Eugenio Corsini*. Torino, 149–153
- Scialuga, M. 1995. “Un’inedita grammatica greca alle soglie dell’età moderna. Il *περὶ παιδείας* di Giorgio Gemisto Pletone.” *AAT* 129:3–34.
- Valiavitcharska, V. 2013. “Rhetoric in the Hands of the Byzantine Grammarian”, *Rhetorica. A Journal of the History of Rhetoric* 31.3:237–260.

- Valiavitcharska, V. 2021a. “Logos prophorikos in Middle Byzantine Thought.” In: Vinzent, M. et al., eds., *Studia Patristica*. vol. 130. *Papers presented at the Eighteenth International Conference on Patristic Studies held in Oxford 2019*. Vol. 27: Theologizing Performance in the Byzantine Tradition, 371–384.
- Valiavitcharska, V. 2021b. “The Advanced Study of Rhetoric Between the Seventh and the Ninth Century.” *JÖByz* 70:487–508.

Stefano Corno (Lyon; Frankreich)

Grammars and the building of a nation — the case of Greece and Italy in the XIXth century

In 1827 Greece obtained its independence and became a nation. The Greek language played a central role in federating people in order to find the national feeling which led to the *epanástasis*. As Greek became a national language, it was necessary to define precisely what kind of language had to be chosen : so grammars had to be adapted in order to describe a language which could be a purist one, avoiding loan-words from Turkish and in search of the connection with ancient Greek.

The XIXth century was also the time when Italy struggled to become a nation. During the *Risorgimento* several theories were exposed in order to define which language, among the different dialects spoken in Italy, had to be chosen as the national one. After the unification, in 1861, the problem of creating grammars teaching the same Italian idiom in the whole country was a central problem for creating a national feeling.

This paper aims to show to which extent the theories of creating a national language in both countries were similar to each other or which differences can be observed in the grammatical definition of that official idiom. We will focus on the Greek grammars written during the second half of the XIXth century (mainly Sofianos’ Grammar but also other contributions) on the Greek side and on the debates about the choice of the Florentine dialect as a national language (Manzoni vs. Ascoli) and the grammaticography in the period following the Italian unification (e.g. Fornaciari).

Paola Cotticelli-Kurras (Verona; Italien)

Grammatikographie der Volkssprachen.

Die italienische Syntax und ihre Metasprache in den ersten Grammatiken der Renaissance die ersten Grammatiken des ‘volgare italiano’¹

Die Grammatikographie der Volkssprachen erlebte in der Renaissance eine entscheidende Entwicklungsphase, insbesondere in Italien, wo erstmals systematische Beschreibungen der italienischen Syntax entstanden. Während die lateinische Grammatik über eine lange Tradition und eine etablierte Metasprache verfügte, mussten die frühen Grammatiker des Italienischen eine angemessene Terminologie und methodische Ansätze für die Analyse und Beschreibung der Volkssprache entwickeln.

Ein zentraler Aspekt dieser Untersuchung ist die Spannung zwischen Tradition und Innovation: Während einige Grammatiker an der klassischen lateinischen Syntax orientierte Beschreibungen bevorzugten, versuchten andere, die Eigenständigkeit der italienischen Sprache zu betonen. Diese Entwicklungen hatten langfristige Auswirkungen auf die spätere Grammatikographie und die Normierung des Italienischen.

Die Analyse der ersten italienischen Grammatiken bietet somit wertvolle Einblicke in die Entstehung einer wissenschaftlichen Metasprache für die Volkssprachen. Es verdeutlicht einerseits die Herausforderungen, mit denen sich Grammatiker bei der Beschreibung nicht-lateinischer Sprachen konfrontiert sahen, andererseits aber auch sowohl die gegenseitigen Beziehungen innerhalb der unterschiedlichen Traditionen (siehe Giambullari and Linacre) und ihr Tribut zur Grammatiktheorie der Modisten.

¹ Die Untersuchung gehört zum Forschungsplan der PRIN2022_COTTICELLI PNRR per la Missione 4, Compo-

nente 2, investimento 1.1, finanziato dall'Unione Europea – NextGenerationEU PRIN 2022 – CUP B53D230 14930006 “European and extra-European metalanguage and grammars: syntactic theories towards the concept of language awareness”.

Literatur

- Antonelli, Giuseppe / Matteo Motolese / Lorenzo Tomasin (eds.), 2018, *Storia dell'italiano scritto IV. Grammatiche*.
- Bonomi, Ilaria. La grammatica di Pierfrancesco Giambullari: saggio di un'analisi delle forme verbali del fiorentino vivo. In: *Il Rinascimento: aspetti et problemi attuali*. Florence: Olschki, 1982, 231–242.
- Colombo, Carmela. “Die erste italienische Grammatik”. In: Coseriu, Eugenio; Meisterfeld, Reinhard (éd.), *Geschichte der romanischen Sprachwissenschaft*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr, 2003, p. 192–200. Vol. 1, Von den Anfängen bis 1492.
- D'Achille, Paolo. *Sintassi del parlato e tradizione scritta della lingua italiana*. Rome: Bonacci, 1990.
- Dionisotti, Carlo. *Gli umanisti e il volgare fra Quattro e Cinquecento*. Florence: Le Monnier, 1968.
- Fornara, Simone; Marazzini, Claudio (éd.). *Giovanni Francesco Fortunio, Regole grammaticali della volgar lingua [1516]*. Pordenone: Accademia San Marco, 1999. Ed. originale: 1516, Ancone, Bernardin Vercellese.
- HSK 18 = *History of the Language Sciences / Geschichte der Sprachwissenschaften / Histoire des sciences du langage. Ein internationales Handbuch zur Entwicklung der Sprachforschung von den Anfängen bis zur Gegenwart*. ... Hrsg. v. Auroux, Sylvain / Koerner, E.F.K. / Niederehe, Hans-Josef / Versteegh, Kees. 1. Teilbd. 2000. · 2. Teilbd. 2001. · 3. Teilbd. 2006
- LEI = *Lessico etimologico italiano*, edito da Max Pfister, Wiesbaden, Reichert, 1979ff.
- Lepschy, Laura L. –Lepschy, Giulio C., 1988, *La lingua italiana*, Milano, Bompiani.
- Manni, Paola. ‘Il volgare toscano quattrocentesco fra realtà e rappresentazione nella Grammatichetta albertiana’. In: Cardini, Roberto; Regoliosi, Mariangela (éd.), *Alberti e la cultura del Quattrocento*. Florence: Polistampa, 2007, p. 629–653. Atti del Convegno internazionale del Comitato nazionale VI centenario della nascita di L. B. Alberti, Firenze, 1618 dicembre 2004 (t. 2).
- Padley, George Arthur, 1988, *Grammatical Theory in Western Europe (1500–1700)*. *Trends in Vernacular Grammar*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Poggi Salani, Teresa. 1988, *Italienisch: Grammatikographie*, Storia delle grammatiche. In: Holtus, Günther; Metzeltin, Michael; Schmitt, Christian (éd.), *Lexicon der Romanistischen Linguistik, IV: Italiano, Corso, Sardo*. Tübingen: Max Niemeyer, 774–786.
- Poggiogalli, Danilo. 1999, *La sintassi nelle grammatiche del Cinquecento*, Firenze, Accademia della Crusca.
- Scaglione, Aldo. *Ars Grammatica*. La Haye, Paris: Mouton, 1970.
- Tavoni, Mirko. 1990, ‘La linguistica rinascimentale’. In: Lepschy, Giulio Ciro (ed.), *Storia della linguistica*. Bologna: Il Mulino, 169–312. Vol. 2.
- Trabalza, Ciro. 1963. *Storia della grammatica italiana*. Milan: Hoepli, 1908. Reprint, Bologna, Amaldo Forni Editore.

Primärquellen

- Acarisio, Alberto A., 1543, *Vocabolario, Grammatica e Ortografia della lingua volgare* (ristampa anastatica dell'ed. Cento), a cura di Paolo Trovato, Bologna, Forni, 1988.
- Alberti, Leon Battista. Grayson, Cecil (éd.). *La prima grammatica della lingua volgare*. Bologne: Commissione per i testi di lingua, 1964.
- Alberti, Leon Battista. Grayson, Cecil (éd.). *Opere volgari*. Rome, Bari: Laterza, 1973. Vol. III.
- Carlino, Marco Antonio, “Ateneo” 1533, *La grammatica volgar*, Napoli, Giannes Sultzbach.
- Corso Rinaldo, 1564, *Fondamenti del parlar Thoscano [1549]*, Roma, Antonio Blado.
- Del Rosso, Paolo, *Paolo del Rosso, Regole osservanze, et avvertenze sopra lo scrivere correttamente la lingua volgare Toscana [1545]*. Ortolano, Pierluigi (éd.). Pescara: Opera, 2009. Ed. originale: 1545, Naples, Mattio Cance.
- Dolce, Lodovico, 1568, *Delle osservationi nella volgar lingua libri HI [1550]*, Venezia, Domenico Farri.
- Fortunio, Giovanni Francesco. *Regole grammaticali della volgar lingua, di G. F. Fortunio*. Turin: Tirrenia, 1973. [Année et lieu supposés par le catalogue de la BN de Rome].
- *Giambullari, Pier Francesco Regole della lingua fiorentina*. Bonomi, Ilaria (éd.). Florence: Presso l'Accademia, 1986.

- Guarinus Veronensis, *Guarinus Veronensis Ill. principi Leonello Marchioni Estensi de lingue latine differentiis*, in: TAVONI 1984: 228–38.
- Linacre, Thomas L., 1537, *De emendata structura latini sermonis libri sex*, Parisiis, Robertus Stephanus.
- Antonio de Nebrija, *Introducciones latinas contrapuesto el romance al latín* (c. 1488), ed. Miguel Ángel Esparza/Vicente Calvo, Münster i. W. 1996
- Antonio de Nebrija, *Gramática de la lengua castellana*, edición crítica por Antonio Quilis, Madrid 1992 (Antonio de Nebrija, *Gramática de la lengua castellana*, Madrid 1992, vol.1–2).
- Perotti, Niccolò 1488, *Regulae grammatice* (anche dette *syfontinae*).
- Laurentius Valla, *Opera omnia*, Basel: Henricus Petrus, 1540; Faksim.: Laurentius Valla, *Opera omnia*, con una premessa di Eugenio Garin, Torino 1962, 2 vol.
- Prisciani grammatici Caesarensis, *Institutionum grammaticarum libri XVIII* ex recensione Martini Hertzii, vol. I libros I–XII continens, Leipzig 1855 vol. 3: Prisciani grammatici Caesarensis, *Institutionum grammaticarum libri XVIII* ex recensione Martini Hertzii, vol. II libros XIII–XVIII continens; PRISCIANI *Opera minora* ex recensione Henrici Keilii, Leipzig 1859.
- Ruscelli, Girolamo, 1581, *De' Commentarii della lingua italiana*, Venezia, Damian Zenano alla Salamandra.
- Trissino, Giovan Giorgio, 1529, *La grammaticetta, Dubbî grammaticali*, in *Scritti linguistici*, a cura di Alberto Castelveccchi, Roma, Salerno 1986.

Tryfonas Damianopoulos (Athen; Griechenland)

Methodology of morphological and syntactic analysis in ancient grammarians. Analogy and other linguistic criteria in Apollonius Dyscolus' approach to the Homeric dialect

In the literature discussing the method of Apollonius Dyscolus, the latter is presented as the predominantly analogical grammarian of the Alexandrian scholarship. The criteria on which he bases his theory and the perspectives he adopts, when examining various morphological and syntactic phenomena, vary considerably. Analogy, together with etymological research, examination of dialectal forms and consideration of literary usages, provides the basic criterion for evaluating a form as grammatically accepted (*ἑλληνισμός*). Among the preceding, Homeric usage, which Apollonius frequently invokes, occupies a special place.

This paper is based on the data and conclusions of the master's thesis «Aspects of the Homeric grammar in antiquity: Apollonius Dyscolus on the article and the pronoun in Homer» (2023, repository *Pergamos*, N.K.U.A.), in which an attempt was made to investigate the morphology and syntax of the elements which, according to the grammarian, belong to the classes of articles and pronouns and, more specifically, the application of his theory of articles and pronouns to Homeric poetry was examined.

The aim of the present paper is, through the examination of passages where the grammarian investigates the formation and inflection of the Homeric articles and pronouns, as well as their syntactic behavior, to draw conclusions about the method he used to deal with issues of inflectional and derivational morphology and to examine the criteria he used for the evaluation of the grammaticality (*καταλληλότης*) of various syntactic structures.

References

- Atherton, C. 1995. "Apollonius Dyscolus and the ambiguity of ambiguity", *CQ* 45: 441–473.
- Basset, L. 2011. "Apollonius between Homeric and Hellenistic Greek: the case of the prepositive article" in Matthaios, S., Montanari, F. & Rengakos, A. (eds.), *Ancient scholarship and grammar: archetypes, concepts and contexts*: 251–267. Berlin/New York.
- Blank, D. L. 1994. "Analogy, anomaly and Apollonius Dyscolus" in Everson, S. (ed.), *Language*: 149–165. Cambridge.
- Blevins, J. P. 2013. "Word-based morphology from Aristotle to modern WP (word and paradigm models)" in Allan, K. (ed.), *The Oxford handbook of the history of linguistics*: 375–395. Oxford.

- Brandenburg, P. 2005. *Apollonios Dyskolos, Über das Pronomen: Einführung, Text, Übersetzung und Erläuterungen*. Munich/Leipzig.
- Consonni, S. 2011. “Observations on *Περὶ ἐπιρρημάτων* by Apollonius Dyscolus” in Montanari, F. & Pagani, L. (eds.), *From scholars to scholia: chapters in the history of Ancient Greek scholarship*: 89–103. Berlin/New York.
- Dorjahn, A. P. 1930. “Apollonius Dyscolus on Homer”, *CPh* 25: 282–284.
- Hahn, E. A. 1951. “Apollonius Dyscolus on mood”, *TAPhA* 82: 29–48.
- Householder, F. W. 1981. *The Syntax of Apollonius Dyscolus*. Amsterdam.
- Itkonen, E. 2005. *Analogy as structure and process: approaches in linguistics, cognitive psychology and philosophy of science*. Amsterdam/ Philadelphia.
- Lallot, J. 2015. “Syntax” in Montanari, F., Matthaios, S. & Rengakos, A. (eds.), *Brill’s companion to Ancient Greek scholarship*, II: 850–895. Leiden/Boston.
- Lambert, F. 2014. “Ancient theories of syntax (*súntaxis*)” in Giannakis, G. K. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Ancient Greek language and linguistics*, IIÉ: 358–361. Leiden/Boston.
- Matthaios, S. 2014. “Philological-grammatical tradition in ancient linguistics” in Giannakis, G. K. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Ancient Greek language and linguistics*, III: 63–76. Leiden/Boston.
- Matthaios, S. & Rooy, R. van 2021. “The Greeks’ idle instrument: the article from Ancient Greece to the Renaissance”, *Beitr. Gesch. Sprachwiss.* 31: 27–54.
- Pagani, L. 2015. “Language correctness (*Hellenismos*) and its criteria” in Montanari, F., Matthaios, S. & Rengakos, A. (eds.), *Brill’s companion to Ancient Greek scholarship*, II: 798–849. Leiden/Boston.
- Schironi, F. 2002. “Articles in Homer: a puzzling problem in ancient grammar” in Swiggers, P. & Wouters, A. (eds.), *Grammatical theory and philosophy of language in antiquity*: 145–160. Leuven/Paris/Sterling, VA.
- Vaahtera, J. 1998. *Derivation: Greek and Roman views on word formation*. Turku.
- Vaahtera, J. 2008. “On grammatical gender in ancient linguistics: the order of genders”, *Arctos* 42: 247–266.

Lionel Dumarty (Paris; Frankreich)

The Weight of Tradition.

How Apollonius Dyscolus Makes Something New out of the Old

Apollonius Dyscolus is regarded as the “pioneer of Western grammar” (A. Kemp), credited with the establishment of grammar as an independent science. The seemingly absolute nature of this assertion makes a particularly striking fact all the more puzzling: it appears that Apollonius is not the inventor of any concept. In fact, not a single grammatical notion, nor any technical term with a new and specific meaning, can be attributed to him. Should this be regarded as a contradiction? Not necessarily: Apollonius is not a reformer, nor does he claim (was he even aware of it?) to be founding a science. Eminently conservative, he acts as a faithful disciple of a multi-century tradition (traced back to Aristarchus, ὁ γραμματικώτατος), a tradition to which he seeks to provide a rational foundation. We shall offer a few examples that illustrate this somewhat particular stance of the grammarian — how Apollonius employs an entire lexicon of the common language, sometimes in a technical sense, sometimes in an informal one, or how, conversely, he appropriates certain terms from an existing technical vocabulary, smoothing out their meaning. We will then attempt to demonstrate the extremes to which this approach sometimes leads, shedding light on some remarkable situations: for instance, in the realm of lexical derivation (*παράγωγη*), Apollonius uses the same term — to the point of confusion — to refer to phenomena that are nonetheless identified and described as fundamentally distinct. In some cases, moreover, the grammarian does not hesitate to push the argument to its furthest limits in order to rationally legitimise what, in all reason, escapes any attempt at legitimisation.

Athanasios Giannaris (Athen; Griechenland)

Reflections of the Port-Royal linguistic thought in the grammars of the Greek Enlightenment

In contrast to the extensive scholarly literature on Ancient and Hellenistic Greek grammarians and grammatical writing, the works of Medieval and Modern Greek grammarians have received considerably less attention from a historiographic perspective (e.g., Manolessou 2012; Delveroudi 2019). This paper aims to examine the linguistic views of two of the most prominent intellectuals of the Greek Enlightenment, Adamantios Korais (1748–1833) and Dimitrios Katartzis (1730–1807), as articulated in the grammars of Modern Greek compiled by them in the late 18th century (ed. Dimaras 1970; Damalas 1888, respectively).

These grammars reflect the authors' divergent linguistic ideologies. Korais refers to Modern Greek as *Graikiki*, portraying it as a “daughter” of Ancient Greek, yet markedly altered by centuries of foreign domination. His approach is marked by a strong classicist orientation and a conviction that written Greek must be purified and corrected in accordance with ancient linguistic norms. Katartzis, by contrast, is noted for his advocacy of the “natural” language, which he calls *Romeic*, and which he views as fundamentally distinct from Ancient Greek. Despite their ideological differences, the paper highlights shared aspects of their grammatical practice, particularly the convergence of two grammatical traditions: (a) the Classical framework of Dionysius Thrax and (b) the General and Rationalist Grammar of Port-Royal. The latter, rooted in Early Modernity and the rise of vernaculars, arguably influenced both thinkers in their construction of Modern Greek as a language autonomous from its ancient antecedents — a view further reflected in their differing naming choices (*Graikiki* vs. *Romeic*). In this light, both Katartzis and Korais can be seen as forerunners in the process of Modern Greek standardization.

References

- Damalas, N. 1888. Γραμματική της γραικικής γλώσσας. In N. Damalas (ed.), *Αδαμαντίου Κοραή, τα μετά θάνατον Ευρεθέντα*, vol. 6. Αθήνα: Α. Κωνσταντινίδης.
- Delveroudi, R. 2019. Από τον Σοφiano στον Τριανταφυλλίδη: Για μια ιστορία των γραμματικών της νέας ελληνικής. *Μελέτες για την Ελληνική Γλώσσα* 39: 361-374.
- Dimaras, K. 1970. *Δημήτριος Καταρτζής. Τα Ευρισκόμενα*. Αθήνα: Ερμής.
- Manolessou, I. 2012. Μεσαιωνική Γραμματική και Μεσαιωνικές γραμματικές. In G. Mavromatis & N. Agiotis (eds.), *6th Neograeca Medii Aevi*, 293-311. Ηράκλειο: Βικελαία Βιβλιοθήκη.

Giannoula Giannouloupoulou (Athen; Griechenland)

The Modern Greek Grammar by Andreas Kalvos (1819)

The aim of the present paper is to present and analyze from a linguistic point of view a grammatical text known as “Modern Greek Grammar” that was written by the famous Greek poet Andreas Kalvos (cf. Giannouloupoulou 2020). Previous philological research (Sofroniou 1960, Savvidis 1993) has suggested that this work, although anonymous, is written by Kalvos and is announced in the *Gentleman's Magazine* (1818).

The work will be examined in the context of the general work “Harmonical Grammar of the Principal Ancient and Modern Languages” (author: Frederick Nolan, editor: Samuel Bagster, 1822), to which it belongs. “Harmonical Grammar” is part of the process of compiling Grammar textbooks, noted in the 18th century (Rodriguez-Gil & Yanez-Bouza 2010). By the beginning of the 19th century a strong grammatical tradition had already been developed in Europe.

“Harmonical Grammar” aimed at functioning as a grammar for teaching and learning languages. Its general theoretical profile was influenced by Plato's ideas, as indicated by the passage by Plato in the

book cover: «δεσμός γὰρ πεφυκῶς πάντων τούτων εἰς ἀναφανήσεται διανοουμένοις» (“a natural bond will be shown in the intellectuals, a bond that connects all phenomena”, my translation). Consequently, all languages dealt with in the Grammar, both ancient and modern, are presented in a uniform way. The Grammar for each language includes four chapters, corresponding to the basic categories of linguistic analysis: “pronunciation, inflexion, syntax and prosody” (sic).

Modern Greek (or Neo-Greek) is analyzed by Andreas Kalvos as a *dialect* of Ancient Greek. In Modern Greek data words of the vernacular are included (e.g. *ἡ ἀλεπού* ‘fox’, genitive plural *τῶν ἀλεπούδων* ‘of the foxes’, the genitive plural *ὁλωνῶν* ‘of all’ of the pronoun *ὅλος*), which, however, come in contrast both with the dominating archaistic ideas of the time and the archaistic language used by Kalvos in his poetry.

References

- Giannouloupoulou, G. 2020. “Grammar of the Modern Greek Language and the Greek Vocabulary in Harmonical Grammar” (in Greek). In D. Arvanitakis (ed.) *Andreas Kalvos. Erga* (in Greek). Vol. III: 35–65. Athens: Benaki Museum.
- Nolan, F. 1822. *Harmonical Grammar of the Principal Ancient and Modern Languages*. Part I, II. London: Bagster.
- Rodriguez-Gil, M. & N. Yanez-Bouza 2010. *Eighteenth Century English Grammars database (ECEG)*. University of Manchester.
- Savvidis, G.P. 1993. “Andreas Kalvos. A default grammatical text” (in Greek). *Molyvdo-kondylo-pelekitis* 4: 185–192.
- Sofroniou, S. A. 1960. *Andreas Kalvos. A Critical Study* (in Greek). Athens.

Nicolas Gignac (Freiburg i.Br.; Deutschland)

Bedeutungsvielfalt in der deutschen Sprachwissenschaft des 19. Jahrhunderts — Vergessene Fäden einer Forschungstradition

Die Erforschung der historischen Entwicklung linguistischer Begriffe mithilfe **moderner digitaler Methoden** in Kombination mit **qualitativen Analysen** eröffnet neue Perspektiven auf die Zirkulation und Etablierung zentraler Konzepte. Michel Bréal wird oft als Begründer des Begriffs “**polysémie**” angesehen – ein Konzept (und später ein linguistischer Forschungsgegenstand), das schließlich in verschiedenen theoretischen Rahmen zentral wurde (Mazaleyrat, 2010; Nerlich & Clarke, 2003; Rastier & Valette, 2009; Ravin & Leacock, 2002). Allerdings erwähnt Bréal in seinem *Essai de sémantique* (1897) nur beiläufig eine bereits bestehende deutschsprachige Forschungstradition, die sich intensiv mit **Bedeutungsvielfalt** auseinandergesetzt hatte.

Die heutige Forschung zur Geschichte der Semantik konzentriert sich vor allem auf die Polysemie als **linguistisches Forschungsgegenstand**. Den früheren konzeptuellen Auffassungen von Bedeutungsvielfalt (als **linguistisches Phänomen**) wird hingegen weniger Aufmerksamkeit geschenkt. Schon zu Beginn des 19. Jahrhunderts beschäftigten sich aber deutsche Gelehrte systematisch mit der Mehrdeutigkeit sprachlicher Zeichen. Neuere Studien (z. B. Courbon, 2020; Gignac, 2024) haben begonnen, diese wenig beachtete Forschungsgeschichte nachzuzeichnen, doch viele Aspekte bleiben unerforscht.

Dieser Beitrag untersucht, wie deutsche Gelehrte des 19. Jahrhunderts Bedeutungsvielfalt konzeptualisierten, bevor sich der Begriff der Polysemie im formalisierten linguistischen Diskurs etablierte. Grundlage der Analyse ist ein digitalisiertes Korpus von sechzehn deutschsprachigen Autoren des 19. Jahrhunderts. Die Untersuchung kombiniert **automatisierte Begriffsextraktion** mit qualitativen **semantischen** und **metalinguistischen Analysen**, um terminologische Muster und konzeptuelle Entwicklungen sichtbar zu machen.

Die Ergebnisse zeigen, dass bereits vor Bréal ein stabiles, aber flexibles Verständnis von Bedeutungsvielfalt existierte. Eine genauere Untersuchung dieser frühen Perspektiven kann dazu beitragen, die

historische Entwicklung der Ideen rund um das Phänomen der semantischen Vielfalt besser zu verstehen, die aktuelle Forschung in der Semantik zu informieren und einen offeneren sowie flexibleren Umgang mit Bedeutungsvielfalt zu fördern.

Literatur

- Bréal, M. (1897). *Essai de sémantique: Science des significations*. Hachette.
- Courbon, B. (2020). Aux origines de la notion de polysémie en français: La formation du concept. In *Historical journey in a linguistic archipelago: Descriptive concepts and case studies* (S. 83–96). Language Science Press. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4269415>
- Gignac, N. (2024). *Étude lexico-sémantique et historique de l'émergence et du traitement de la notion de pluralité sémantique en linguistique allemande et française au XIXe siècle* [Master thesis, Université Laval]. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.20343.33440>
- Mazaleyra, H. (2010). *Vers une approche linguistico-cognitive de la polysémie: Représentation de la signification et construction du sens* [Doctoral thesis, Université Blaise Pascal – Clermont-Ferrand II]. <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00545777v2>
- Nerlich, B., & Clarke, D. D. (2003). Polysemy and flexibility: Introduction and overview. In B. Nerlich, Z. Todd, V. Herman, & D. D. Clarke (Hrsg.), *Polysemy: Flexible patterns of meaning in mind and language* (S. 3–30). de Gruyter Mouton.
- Rastier, F., & Valette, M. (2009). De la polysémie à la néosémie. *Texte! Textes et Cultures, Institut Ferdinand de Saussure, XIV* (1), 97–116.
- Ravin, Y., & Leacock, C. (2002). *Polysemy: Theoretical and computational approaches*. Oxford University Press.

Gerda Haßler (Potsdam; Deutschland)

“Analyse” in französischen und spanischen Grammatiken des langen 18. Jahrhunderts

In spanischen Grammatiken der 2. Hälfte des 18. und der ersten Jahrzehnte des 19. Jahrhunderts ist *análisis* einer der häufigsten Termini. Die Ursachen dafür werden in der Historiographie häufig in französischen Einflüssen gesehen, die mit dem Schlagwort Rationalismus begründet werden. Doch kam nicht alles, was *Analyse* genannt wurde aus Frankreich und war schon gar nicht rationalistisch im erkenntnistheoretischen Sinne. In dem Beitrag sollen Ansätze der logischen und der grammatischen Analyse gezeigt werden, die in Spanien selbst entstanden waren. Danach werden Einflüsse aus der französischen Grammatikographie betrachtet, die in spanischen Grammatiken und Lehrwerken zweifellos festzustellen sind. Die französischen Einflüsse wirkten jedoch nicht als Block und immer in der gleichen Richtung. Die Grammatiken von Arnauld/Lancelot, Du Marsais, Beauzée, Condillac, Destutt de Tracy etc. haben unterschiedliche theoretische Grundlagen und Zielstellungen und wirkten dementsprechend auch auf spanische Grammatiken. In Frankreich lassen sich im betrachteten Zeitraum drei verschiedene Analysekonzepte unterscheiden: (1) Die Struktur der Welt und die Anordnung der Ideen sind angeboren, und die Aufgabe der grammatikalischen Analyse besteht darin, auf diese feste Vorlage im Denken zurückzuführen (rationalistisches Analysekonzept). (2) Die Aufgabe der Analyse besteht darin, zu verstehen, wie sich Begriffe durch Zeichen bilden, was durch die Besonderheiten der einzelnen Sprachen beeinflusst wird. Die Reihenfolge der Gedanken im Denken hängt mit der Reihenfolge der Wörter zusammen (sensualistisches Konzept der Analyse). (3) Sprachen sind Analysemethoden und müssen verbessert werden, um das Denken und die wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnisse zu perfektionieren (ideologisches Konzept der Analyse). Die Entwicklung dieser drei Analysekonzepte wird zunächst anhand französischer Grammatiken gezeigt, um dann ihre Rezeption und Weiterentwicklung durch einige spanischsprachige Autoren zu betrachten.

Bernhard Hurch (Graz; Österreich)

**Kumulative und separative Markierung im Baskischen:
Larramendi, Astarloa und Humboldt**

[Abstract folgt]

Michael M. Isermann (Heidelberg; Deutschland)

**The *littera* in classical orthography/grammar and
orthographic thinking in the Early Modern period**

I will begin with a brief sketch of the role of the *littera/letter* with its two symbolic manifestations of sound (*potestas*) and character (*figura*) in classical grammar. I will then show (rather than argue) how orthography and the doctrine of the *littera* began to transgress the disciplinary boundary of grammar in the Early Modern period, conquering ever more territory. At the end of the 17th century, orthographic thinking was so dominant and all-embracing that it had largely replaced Aristotelian metaphysics. Before the development set in towards the end of the 15th century, objects of the understanding, whether verbal or physical, were generally understood in terms of Aristotelian-Scholastic ontology. At the end of the Early Modern period, the old organization of thought had given way to one that followed the pattern of alphabetical writing. No longer were words, letters or sounds considered as substances with accidents. Instead, things and concepts were conceptualized like alphabetically written words.

The paper is a summary of one of the major lines of argument in my recent *The Alphabetisation of Thought. Orthography, Locke, and Natural Philosophy* (Leiden; Boston: Brill 2025).

Rolf Kemmler (Vila Real; Portugal)

**Eine dänische Lateingrammatik auf Abwegen.
Die *Latinsk Sproglære til Skolebrug* (1841) von Johan Nikolai Madvig
und ihre Rezeption in Portugal**

Im Jahr 1841 veröffentlichte der dänische klassische Philologe und Professor an der Universität Kopenhagen, Johan Nikolai Madvig (1804–1886), seine innovative Lateingrammatik *Latinsk Sproglære til Skolebrug*, das in der Erstauflage einen Umfang von VI, 488, [IV] hatte. Auch wenn das Original in dänischer Sprache mit mindestens zehn Auflagen bis 1907 in seinem Heimatland recht erfolgreich war, stellte die erstmals 1844 in Braunschweig vom Verfasser selbst in deutscher Sprache verantwortete Ausgabe der *Lateinischen Sprachlehre für Schulen* mit VIII, 481, [I] Seiten den Ausgangspunkt der internationalen Rezeption der Madvig'schen Lateingrammatik unter anderem in englischer (1849), französischer (1862) und italienischer (1867) Sprache dar.

Etwas später erschien auch in Lissabon eine portugiesische Übersetzung, die dem klassischen Philologen Augusto Epifânio da Silva Dias (1841–1916) zu verdanken ist. Unter dem Titel *Grammatica Latina para uso das escholas* (1872) gab Dias auf X, 440 Seiten den Großteil des Texts der noch ungekürzten dritten Auflage von Madvig (1857) wieder, die einen Umfang von XX, 516 Seiten hatte. Da Dias kurz darauf zudem eine deutlich reduzierte 204-seitige Schülerversion der *Grammatica Latina de J. N. Madvig* veröffentlichte, möchte ich in meinem Vortrag die beiden portugiesischen Texttraditionen von Madvigs Grammatik vorstellen.

Quellen

- Madvig, Johan Nikolai. ¹1841. *Latinsk Sproglære til Skolebrug*. Af Johan Nicolai Madvig. Kjöbenhavn: Forlagt af den Gyldenhalske Boghandling.
 - Madvig, J[ohan] N[ikolai]. 1844. *Lateinische Sprachlehre für Schulen*. Von Dr. J. N. Madvig, Professor an der Universität in Kopenhagen. Braunschweig: Druck und Verlag von Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn.
 - Madvig, J[ohan] N[ikolai]. ³1857. *Lateinische Sprachlehre für Schulen*. Von Dr. J. N. Madvig, Professor an der Universität in Kopenhagen. Dritte, verbesserte Ausgabe. Braunschweig: Druck und Verlag von Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn.
 - Madvig, J[ohan] N[ikolai]. ¹1872. *Grammatica Latina para uso das escholas*. por J. N. MADVIG, Professor da Universidade de Copenhague. Tradadada do allemão para portuguez por Augusto Epiphania da Silva Dias. Porto: Typographia de Manoel José Pereira.
 - Madvig, J[ohan] N[ikolai]. ¹1879. *Grammatica Latina de J. N. Madvig*. Reduzida a epitome por Augusto Epiphania da Silva Dias. Lisboa: Livraria Ferreira.
 - Madvig, J[ohann] N[ikolai]. ³1887. *Grammatica Latina de J. N. Madvig*. Reduzida a epitome por Augusto Epiphania da Silva Dias. Lisboa: Livraria Ferreira.
-

James McElvenny (Siegen; Deutschland)

Linguistic relativity and the crisis discourses of the 1920s and 30s

In contemporary scholarship, linguistic relativity is generally treated as a problem of individual psychology, to be investigated through experiments targeting cognitive processes inside the heads of single speakers of a language. But it is only since the mid-20th century that the individualising cognitive dimension has come to the fore and obscured other approaches. In this talk, I will look at the recent historical background to linguistic relativity with the emergence of the so-called ‘Sapir-Whorf hypothesis’. I will show how Sapir’s and Whorf’s own views were formulated outside the experimental paradigm of modern psycholinguistics and could not be construed as a ‘hypothesis’. Instead, their views were part of ‘crisis’ discourses of the 1920s and 30s that addressed both academic concerns arising through the formation of the modern disciplines of psychology and linguistics, and more general social and political concerns surrounding truth and propaganda. The coining of the term ‘Sapir-Whorf hypothesis’ in the 1950s represents the definitive shift to the modern experimental paradigm and quiet resolution of the crisis discourses.

Maria Chiara Pozzoni (Rom; Italien)

Every Human Being is a Legislator —

Antonio Gramsci and Lombardo Radice on Grammar, Law, and Pedagogy

This paper examines Antonio Gramsci’s theorisation of grammar in relation to juridical-legislative structures, highlighting the analogical and dialectical relationship between two conceptual triads in the *Prison Notebooks*:

- 1) written normative grammar, unwritten normative grammar, and immanent grammar;
- 2) written law, regulation, and juridical folklore.

These series align with the broader categories of domination, hegemony, and spontaneity, demonstrating how linguistic and legal normativity are historically constructed and socially mediated rather than purely prescriptive or immanent.

Gramsci’s reflections on grammar and law are further examined through his engagement with the pedagogical thought of Giuseppe Lombardo Radice. Lombardo Radice’s conception of discipline as a process in which the educator embodies a living, operative law while the learner simultaneously assimilates and creatively re-elaborates grammatical norms resonates with Gramsci’s idea that normativity is

internalised as both constraint and active participation. This perspective informs Gramsci's assertion that "every human being is a legislator" just as "every human being is a philosopher," precisely insofar as they speak and thus contribute to the continuous re-creation of a language, which in turn embeds and sustains a specific conception of the world.

By drawing connections between linguistic normativity, legal structures, and pedagogical theories, this paper underscores the relevance of Gramsci's thought for contemporary debates on the interplay between grammar and social normativity in general.

Eleonora Saracino (Rom; Ialieu)

"Τέχνη Γραμματική" by Dionysius Thrax — sources, text, studies

This presentation explores the significance of Dionysius Thrax's *Art of Grammar* (Τέχνη Γραμματική), the earliest known comprehensive work on Greek grammar, with particular attention to his approach to grammaticography. After some bibliographical information and the writings attributed to Dionysius Thrax, the contribution will be divided into three fundamental parts, of mostly theoretical and philological nature.

The first concerns problems and perspectives of the indirect tradition of the work: thus, the pair τέχνη-ἐμπειρία, the ἀναλογία and the general inductive method starting from the speculations of Plato (in *Gorgias*, *Cratylus*, *Phaedo*, *Timaeus*, *Laws*) and Aristotle (in *Metaphysics A*, *Nicomachean Ethics*, *Poetics*, *Topics*), the Stoic influence, the formation under Aristarchus of Samothrace and some evidence offered by Apollonius Dyscolus.

The second part presents the style, structure and contents of the Τέχνη Γραμματική accompanied by the reading of selected passages, a brief presentation of the organisation of the material in the codices, and the fortune the work had with Manuel Moscopulo and Manuel Crisolora in Italian Humanism.

The third and final part aims to give a brief history of the major modern studies on the work between the 19th and 20th centuries, both for the authorship of the text and for more doctrinal and/or grammatical questions and, consequently, any subdivisions of the work made by critics (consider for example Barwick 1922; Di Benedetto 1958–9, 2000; Pfeiffer 1973; Swiggers-Wouters 1995, 2000; Ildefonse 1997; Matthaios 1999, 2002; Hummel 2007).

References

- Barwick, K. 1922, *Remmius Palaemon und die romische Ars grammatica*, Leipzig, Dieterich'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung.
- Di Benedetto, V. 1958, *Dionisio Trace e la Techne a lui attribuita*, «Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa», 27 (1958), 169–210.
- Di Benedetto, V. 1959, *Dionisio Trace e la Techne a lui attribuita*, «Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa», 28 (1959), 87–118.
- Di Benedetto, V. 2000, *Dionysius Thrax and the Techne Grammatikè*, in Auroux, S. (ed.), *History of the Language Sciences: An International Handbook On the Evolution of the Study of Language From the Beginnings to the Present*, Vol. I, De Gruyter, Berlin/New York 2000, 394–400.
- Hummel, P. 2007, *De lingua Graeca: Histoire de l'histoire de la langue grecque*, Bern e.a, Peter Lang.
- Ildefonse, F. 1997, *La naissance de la grammaire dans l'antiquité grecque*, Vrin, Paris.
- Matthaios, S. 1999, *Untersuchungen zur Grammatik Aristarchs: Texte und Interpretation zur Wortartenlehre*, Hypomnemata 126, Göttingen.
- Matthaios, S. 2002. 'Neue Perspektiven für die Historiographie der antiken Grammatik: Das Wortartensystem der Alexandriner' in Swiggers, P. – Wouters, A. (eds.), *Grammatical Theory and Philosophy of Language in Antiquity*, Orbis/Supplementa 19, Leuven, Paris, and Sterling, VA: 161220.
- Pfeiffer, R. 1973, *Storia della filologia classica dalle origini all'eta ellenistica*, introduzione di M. Gigante, Napoli, Macchiaroli.

- Swigger, P. – Wouters, A. 1995, *Techne et empeiria: la dynamique de la grammaire grecque dans l'antiquité à la lumière des papyrus grammaticaux*, *Lalies* 15, 83–100.
- Swigger, P. – Wouters, A. 2000, *Grammaires grecques (et latines) sur papyrus*, in De Nonno, M. – De Paolis, P. – Holtz, L. (eds), *Manuscripts and Tradition of Grammatical Texts from Antiquity to the Renaissance: Proceedings of a Conference held at Erice, 16–23 Oct. 1997*, 2 vols, Cassino, Edizione dell'Università degli Studi di Cassino.

Friederike Spitzl-Dupic (Frankreich; Clermont-Ferrand)

“Deixis” in allgemein-philosophischen Grammatiken

Auroux (1986) untersucht “deiktische” Pronominal- und Adverbialelemente in verschiedenen französischen allgemein-philosophischen Grammatiken. Er kommt zu dem Schluss, dass es “absurd wäre“, diese Grammatiker als “Vorläufer der zeitgenössischen Entwicklung einer allgemeinen Äußerungstheorie” zu betrachten, auch wenn sie einige relevante Aspekte erwähnen. Der Grund dafür wäre, dass ihr grundlegendes Postulat, wonach Sprache als Werkzeug zur Übersetzung von Ideen fungiert, es ihnen nicht erlaubt, Performativität und Sui-Referenz zu berücksichtigen: *Ich* wäre hier demnach die “Bezeichnung” der Person, die spricht, und nicht Ausdruck der Person, die “Ich” sagt und sich damit als Sprecher und Bezugspunkt der Rede identifiziert. Auroux (1986: 116–117) erklärt daher, dass “die enunziative Revolution, die wir in den letzten zwanzig Jahren [d.h. also seit Ende der 1960er Jahre, FSD] erlebt haben, eine beispiellose Revolution in der Geschichte unserer semantischen Vorstellungen ist.”

Ich möchte in meinem Vortrag die Behandlung der Deixis in allgemeingrammatischen Texten neu beleuchten, indem ich die allgemeinen Grammatiken von Nicolas Beauzée, ebenfalls von Auroux behandelt, und von Karl Ferdinand Becker (1841) untersuche. Ich werde zu zeigen versuchen, dass beide Grammatiker unterschiedlich, und K.F. Becker auf eine sehr umfassende Weise, zentrale Aspekte moderner Enunziationstheorien nicht nur ansprechen, sondern eingehend entwickeln, indem sie sich auf ihre spezifischen sprachtheoretischen Postulate stützen: ein sensualistischer Ansatz bei Beauzée und ein organisatorisch gefasster, kantischer Ansatz bei Becker.

Diese Untersuchung ermöglicht es daher auch, die Theoriebildung von Grammatik bzw. Grammatikschreibung in einem sprachübergreifenden Bereich zu zeigen, da die behandelten Grammatiker einen universalistischen Anspruch verfolgen und ihre Inhalte und Konzepte aus ihren philosophisch-theoretischen Grundlagen entwickeln.

Literatur

- Arnauld, Antoine / Lancelot, Claude. 1660. *Grammaire générale et raisonnée*. Paris: Pierre L'epetit.
- Auroux Sylvain 1986. “Actes de pensée et actes linguistiques dans la grammaire générale”. *HEL*, t. 8.2. *Histoire des conceptions de l'enonciation*. 105–120. <https://doi.org/10.3406/hel.1986.2226> https://www.persee.fr/doc/hel_0750-8069_1986_num_8_2_2226 (consulté le 25.07.2024)
- Beauzée, Nicolas 1767. *Grammaire générale ou exposition raisonnée des éléments nécessaires du langage, pour servir de fondement à l'étude de toutes les langues*. 2 t., Paris: Barbou.
- Becker, Karl Ferdinand ²1841. *Organism der Sprache*. Frankfurt am Main : Kettembeil.
- Berdychowska, Zofia 2004. “Personaldeixis und ihre Kategorien”. *Perspektiven der polnischen Germanistik in Sprach- und Literaturwissenschaft: Festschrift für Olga Dobijanka-Witczakowa zum 80. Geburtstag*. Éd. Dębski Antoni, Kraków: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego, 435–448.
- Erlinger, H. D. 2007. “Zur Geschichte des muttersprachlichen Unterrichts in Deutschland 18.–20. Jahrhundert”. *Geschichte der Sprachtheorie. Sprachtheorien der Neuzeit III/2.*, t.2., Éd. Lefteris Roussos. Tübingen: Narr, 526–558.
- Pariente, Jean-Claude 1973. *Le Langage et l'individuel*. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Pariente, Jean-Claude 1985. “Théorie du Pronom”. *L'Analyse du langage à Port-Royal. six études logico-grammaticales*. Paris : Les Éditions de Minuit, 149–180.

- Raby, Valérie 2018. “Proposition, jugement et modalités d’énonciation”. *Les théories de l’énoncé dans la grammaire générale*, ENS Éditions. <https://doi-org.ezproxy.uca.fr/10.4000/books.enseditions.8937>. (consulté le 25.07.2024)
 - Spitzl-Dupic, Friederike 2021. “‘Situationsdeixis’ in der Geschichte der Grammatikographie”. *Deixis und Deiktika im Deutschen. Auffälligkeiten, Entwicklungen, Analysen*. Éd. Marie-Hélène Viguier, Stauffenburg: Tübingen, 1–26.
-

Toon Van Hal (Leuven; Belgien)

Mapping *etymological passages and terminology* in the Ancient Greek GLAUx corpus

The use of metalinguistic terms throughout centuries such as ‘etymology’, ‘syntax’, ‘dialect’ requires great caution: the fact that these terms themselves have remained stable throughout the centuries can give the false impression that the concepts they represent have also remained stable. In the past decade, research into metalinguistic studies in antiquity has dissociated itself from isolated approaches: symptomatically, recent research into ancient metalinguistic intellectual activities is usually undertaken under the umbrella of ‘ancient scholarship’ rather than, e.g., “grammaticography”. This explains the need for a wider look at the source — texts, which endangers however the feasibility of research, when following conventional methods. The aim of this contribution is to examine whether we can map ‘etymological’ passages in the entire Greek corpus. Starting from a particular set of lemmas (such as ἔτυμος), I hope to transcend the level of single lemmas by making use of syntactic and collocational information as well as synonyms. In so doing, I will make use of the GLAUx corpus (glaux.be).
